Q	Bargen D BAEABERT SINANSEA City Deal	dinesig AWE SAY	S			ty Deal	Portfolio Risk Register								
Risk Theme	tisk / Opportunity	Ref	Title	Date Raised	Category	Owner	Risk Description	Inherent Probability Inherent Impact	Original Control Actions	Review Update/Control Actions	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Rank	Reported Change	Vext Review Date
Development	Risk	SBCD001	Competing priorities of partners	s Mar-18	C6 C14	JC	There is a risk of competing priorities of partners causing City Deal issues not to be considered a priority and therefore sufficient resources are not dedicated resulting in potential otherwise unnecessary delays in delivery or achievement of outcomes.	4 3 1	Ensure partners are engaged fully from the outset and that the benefits and potential opportunities of the City Deal partnership, and that in-outhernant are calaxy included. Ensure opportunities for open and honest dialogue regarding competing pressures. Establish support mechanisms to assist partners with competing priorities to allow them to be as involved as possible. Set up annual meeting schedule to enable effective time management for all partners. 2 Provide regular electronic updates and briefings inbetween meetings on progress / key issues	BANAGI Perfolio Desiness Case now updated with review recommendations implemented and has been presented to all Board members (JC / PB). Governance arrangements continue to be implemented and PAND representation at Programme / Project Boards is now underway Review of JCA will include a review of corrent TOR fit operanance Boards Regular briefings and updates with key stakeholders and dialogue relating to SBCD commitments, risks and issues. All Covernance Boards have been quorate demonstrating commitment from key stakeholders. Embedded PAND engaging with Programme / Project Leads. JCA currently being reviewed. Amendments have been drafted and due to be presented to Governance Boards. Get/121 Litters have been sent to non. Joint Committee partner organisations to sign up to committing to supporting the delivery of the programme / project outcomes. Expected to be in receipt of all signatures by year end.	2	3	6	¢	Mar-22
Implementation	Risk	SBCD005	Delay in approval of Programm Project business cases	e/ Mar-18	C11	PoMO / JC / Govs	on critical timescale could impact projects ability to deliver	3 4 1	Ensure JCA is completed and agreed. Monthly robust regional review process / structure. Ensure project authority leads have early sight of relovent business cases. Iterative 2 process with governments to enable them to review early drafts to minimise the amount of review regioned for final version. Develop and agreed process and timescale for final business case review with Governments.	ORM/21 Turnaround time for Governments to approve SBCD Business Cases has reduced from 6 months to 3-4 months. UK & WG have recently introduced a new process for approval. There have been teething issues with this, process in coordinating government official feedback in a timely manner and in seeking miniaterial approval at UKG level. The PAND is working closely with both governments to reduce the approval time even turber by providing earlier briefing assists and tagonoval at UKG level. The PAND is working closely with both governments to reduce the approval time even turber by providing earlier briefing assists and tagonoval to the final Business Case User Carbon and HaPS currently availing Ministerial approval. Skills & Talent have received DCA rating of crossing in the Stage Case of Parkev. Curropuses are scheduled for a PAR. 11th August, Ministerial approval, and individual Covernet departments exponding to Ministerial questions and individual Covernet department exponding to Ministerial questions and individual Covernet exponding	2	3	6	↓ (3,3)	Mar-22
Implementation	Risk	SBCD006	Portfolio / Programme / Project Business cases not approved	Mar-18	C3 C11	PoMO / Delivery Lead / W/UK Governm ent	/ Plaik of Business Cases not being approved due to lack of engagement / direction from authorities resulting in programm project failure	ne 3 5 1	Ensure regional project authority lead is fully involved in the development of the business case and has early sight of relevant business cases. Provide Councils with project briefings where appropriate.	INVEXT PAND continue to engage with UKWG PAND continue to engage with UKWG PAND continues and the engage of the support sign off of the Economic approasals for the outstanding Business Cases. Dedicated sessions held with the Minister to discuss Business Cases prior to approvals. PAND guidance and support with Project Leads to align to Green Book and Better Business Case guidance. Robust regional approval process/ Galeway Reviews in place. De0/1221 Lot Amber / Green in the recent Galeway Review and the Business Case is awaiting Ministerial approval.	1	3	6	↔	Mar-22
Implementation	Risk	SBCD008	Changes to approved Business Cases and what they will delive	i r Mar-18	C11 C6	Delivery lead	Risk of changes to approved Business Cases due to no longer requiring same amount of funding or change of timescale, co- quality, programme / project brendit and portfolio level benefit causing the programme / project to not longer actives the necessary outcomes required for CDD beal funding resulting in Project not being approved and therefore unable to proceed a planned.	t, 15 4 4 1		BeNV21 Pentra Avera and Digital Infrastructure BC now gained Ministerial approval. HAPS and Low Carbon economic methodology is being changed but does not change the deliverables and approach to delivery. Scope creep is not an issue for the planned deliverables on the remaining projects in Business Case development atage. POIVI21 Change Control process approved by Governance Boards and implemented. @@VI221 Swarses City Waterfront & Digital District - Innovation Matrix has been through the Change Control process its Governance Boards and approved. Lack of engagement from stakeholders with the process. PAMD to emphasive Dr Porgarame (Pontbio) Board and Joint Committee the importance of gving through the Dhange Control process that all members have signed up to.This will form part of the Quarterly Monitoring Reports. PAMD are also progressing with putting an Assurance Finament's Inplace.	4	3	6	⇔	Mar-22
Implementation	Risk	SBCD010	Cancellation of meetings	Apr-19	C14 C11 C6	JC / PB, ESB / PoMO	Risk of Board meetings being cancelled causing implications signing off documentation including business cases, implementation of review recommendations and key underpinning tasks resulting in delays in delivery.	in 3 3	Monthly meetings of ESB and Joint Committee now taking place at the same venue and on the same day. Programme Board meetings procede these meetings by a fortnight. Meetings of Joint Scrutiny Committee take place throughout the City Region every two month	0604/21 Forward planning for meeting schedule in place. No issues to report. Continue to monitor. 01/07/21 To note Joint Scrutiny Committee has not been quorate on 2 occasions during 2021 resulting in cancellation of meetings. All other SBCD Governance Committees have state place where required / scheduled. One Project Lead / PoMO Team meeting was cancelled due to lack of attendance, all other meetings pre and post have taken place. 06/12/21 8 Joint Committee meetings have taken place where TOR sets out they are to take place quarterly so have more than adequately satisfied expectations. Programme (Portfolio) Baard have meet 9 times during 2021, Joint Scrutiny and ESB TOR for Joint Scrutiny currently with the Monitoring Officer to change quoracy from 8 to 6 members.	2	2	4	⇔	Nov-21
Operational	Risk	SBCD012	Withdrawal of Parners	Mar-18	C3 C6 C11		Risk of withdrawal of Partners due to reduction in funding for regional support structures, potential impact on ability to achieve broader outcomes of CID paler is: mproving public service delivery and other strategic regional functions	3 4 1	2 Develop arrangements with other partners who are not subject to the JCA to reflect provisions for withdrawal	9401/21 Funding agreements are in the process of being developed with partners including Local Authorities and Lead deliverers and all form part of the JCA. 9604/21 No issues to report. Continue to monitor. 94/19721 As previous updates. no issues to report. 961/221 Commitment letters have been signed by all 4 partners as per Audit recommendation.	2	3	6	\leftrightarrow	Mar-22
Delivery	Risk	SBCD013	Slippage in delivery of programmes / projects against milestones	key Mar-18		JC	Risk that City Deal doesn't achieve the outcomes intended within the timescales agree due to slippage in delivery of programme against key milestones resulting in borrowing and recouperation not accurately reflecting spend	34	Establish robust monitoring and evaluation framework to ensure programme and project delivery remains within agreed timescales and to ensure that all targeted project outputs and outcomes will be achieved. Regional Team in place to undertake monitoring role. Accountable BodySection 151 officers will undertake programme level financial profiling to ensure borrowing and distribution of City Deal funding is reflective of programme delivery.	RePAU21 Continuing to monitor timescales with programme / project leads. Government approval for Pentre Awel and Digital Infrastructure were longer than anticipated. Development of Campuses and Salts have been delayed from oxignal Inmaccales. Duta are now on track for infrash Inmaccales. Duta is under a set of the set	3	5	15	\leftrightarrow	Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD015	Failing to adopt the SBCD Procurement Principles includir Community Benefits	ng Mar-18	C6 C1 C13	7 All	Risk of programmes / projects failing to adopt programme messagement principles deuting initial incomment subordings failing to benefit the local supply chain resulting in Cuty Death of achieving the anticipated fong term change / outcomes. Lack support / engagement with City Deat and related projects. Potential for negative publicity and loss of credibility.	of 3 5 s	Procument Action Plan deveload. Programme Procurement Principles darled. Procumenter Principles algrand to the WFBG Act. Industry engagement has identified key concernatissues to be addressed in the Principles. Project Laad meetings planned with appealars on key topics of concern. Industry B2B events to be held. ESBUC to endorse principles.	Performance Programmer Project Leads to ensure all are adhering to regulations set out in SSCD procurement principles. Quarterly monitoring of Community Benefits, which is monitored via quarterly reports. Revised Benefits Relations templates and reporting mechanisms are on going, which will support the implementation of the Procurement Principles. No issues have been identified. Business Engagement Manager is working with Programme / Project Leads to support. Exploratory conversations regarding the application of the Weah TOMS. PhoD to engage with Programme / Project Leads to ensure all are adhering to regulations set out in SSCD procurement principles. Quarterly monitoring of Community Benefits continues.	2	4	8	↔	Mar-22
Oper ation al	Risk	SBCD016	Negative media coverage	Mar-18	C13	PoMO	Risk of City Deal image being portrayed negatively to all stakeholders and consequently the opportunities allotded by th City Deal are not realised at all invest. Resulting in disengagement of industry, business and social stakeholders given damage to City Deal reputation and the opportunity for tolow-up questions' days makers to scrutinise City Deal progress / previous statements.	3 4 4	across the region Approved statements to be sent in response to media queries on deadline, accompanied by discussions with the reporter asking the question(s) Discussions with news editors/editors to try to influence the tone of coverage Approved press releases and statements to be sent to identified stakeholders in advance	66W21 There has been very little negative media coverage in 2021 to date, apart from some coverage of the Pembroke Dock Infrastructure planning application, given heritage concerns. The SBCD Communications & Marketing Officer has been working with MMPA to raise awareness of the PDM Programme as a whole on social media and in the Pembrokeshire media. Media coverage for the Pemtre Awel's project approval has been overwhelmingly positive. Also positive media coverage on the Procurement Pipeline Event and further 32m draw down of City Deal funds. 6400721 Media coverage continues to be positive. Recruitment of the Communications & Marketing Officer underway which will allow us to manage the content of releases based on specific occurrences as the Portfolia develops. Coverage for the Digital Infrastructure Programme in the media has been externely positive. 171221 Due to the local elections son to be held probability of the risk happening slightly increased due toresulting actions being outside of the control of the POMO. Thereat Start Provide as there are extend SBCD Projects monitor to delivery which will attract media coverage.	3	3	9	↑ (1,2)	Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD017	Silo mentality / working	Mar-18	C13 C6	All	Risk of silo working due to programmes / projects not making the cross connections and the whole system opportunity for drange is not readiesd. Ankibitos of the City Deal are not embedded into organisational aims and the transformational potentia of the dual as therefore not nealised. Resulting in City Deal being viewed and delivered via status quo crafter than challenging and politivity transforming the delivery of industry and public services in the region.	4 3	of online or offline publication Regular project leads meetings to identify opportunities for cross project working. Digital Project leads on a 121 basis to ensure the cross outing themes of skills and digital are incorporated into all project plane.	PAMC continue to engage with regional stakeholders and offer support for City Deal and wider initiatives. 17/12/21 As we move into a period of delivery, there is potential for missed opportunities for the programmes / projects to work together. Since Skills & Talent Programme receiving ministerial approval, a Skills solution working group has been set up to reduce silo working involving the other programmes and projects within the City Deal.	2	2	4	↔	Nov-21
Operational	Risk	SBCD018	Lack of alignment of communications between partn	vers Mar-18	C13 C6	PoMO	Risk of confused / inconsistent / unclear messages given out due to lack of alignment of communication between partners resulting potential negative media and social media coverage, undermining the City Deal brand and objectives	4 5 :	Employed dedicated communication and engagement officer to act as central point of contact for all CRy Deal related communications. Establish a communications group of consistency and up to date information. Prwole regular to plantes at all particulars or programme and project progress. Monitor twests, press releases, articles etc relating to CLY beal and resture, where appropriate, a propose is issues promptly. Develop and maintain a protocol which require partners to send press releases and tattements to the Cly Deal Communications officer for consistency and asserses.	BetWart PAMD are now producing Ministerial reports that are produced monthly in line with Joint Committee meetings. Following departure of Comms & Marketing Officer, PAMD are now producing Ministerial reports that are produced monthly in line with Joint Committee meetings. Following departure of Comms & Marketing Officer, PAMD are now producing Ministerial reports in live organisations. Continuing reporting arrangements on going such as Highlight Report, Quarterly Montoring and Annual Report. 30/0721 Departments of progress updates. PoMD to scheduled bi-annual engagement meetings with lead delivery organisations and a bi-annual stateholder event with Covernance groups of the Covernance Boards such as Highlight Report, Quarterly monitoring, financial management. SBCD Monitoring Officer currently looking into how we can develop a portal for partners to access to share reports, decisions. Access of the report the quarter in line with he recommendation resulting from the last Portfolio Gateway Review. Risk hing due to tumover of serior stakeholders, lack of representation from organisations. 2 Consecutive Governance Boards and cover distences on organisations. Communications. PMD are in the process of procuring Project Management Sthware to be used access to SBCP Ortfolio Gateway Review. Risk hing due to tumover of serior stakeholders, lack of representation from organisations at 2 consecutive Governance Boards and covid related work pressures on organisations. Work is being due to shape Corporate Joint Committees (CLCrs).	3	3	9	↑ (1,3)	Mar-22
Oper ational	Risk	SBCD019	Change in project scope post Outline Business Case approve	al Mar-18	C11 C6		Risk that project too longer requires same amount of funding last to change in project scope post Quilles Business Case y approach. Project no longer achieves the necessary outcome required for CIV ball indung resulting in project not being approved and therefore unable to proceed / proceed as planned.	4 4	Establish robust project monitoring and evaluation to ensure project remains on track to deliver scope outlined in appropried business case and overarching aims of the City Deal in terms of growth and jobs.	BMBA21 The Change control process has been approved by JC and will be utilized once a programme / project bring forward a proposed change. There are currently no proposed changes that effect heads of terms deliverables that the SBCD are held to AI lead autorities and lead deliveres are held to the delivery of outputs and outcome that are documented in funding agreements. EVAPO21 Change Control process approved by Governance Boards and implemented. EVAPO21 Change Control process and approved by Governance Boards and implemented. EVAPO21 Change Control process and approved by Governance Boards and implemented. EVAPO21 Change Control process and approved by Governance Boards and UK & WG. PoMD continue to manage the process by engaging with Programme / Project Leads and nept change to Governance Boards and scrutiny.	4	з	12	↔	Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD021	Governance policies and legislation	Sep-20	C6		Lack of robust measures to monitor governance policies and legislation can lead to failure to protect the stakeholders, staff and public funds associated with the City Deal and result in legis challenge, reputational damage and threat to SBCD portfolio delivery	3 3	Governance arrangements need to be strengthened further in terms of documenting the risk management methodology and risk appeter, an information sharing protocol, courter finaud procedured, de ofligence and anti-more juandeming arrangements, and recording of declarations of interestigits and hospitality for all Serior Officers and Members	040921 Declarations of Interest process and template now completed and now embedded Awaiting feedback to finalise counterfraud and money laundering policy. 040721 PAND and Programme / Project Leads working closely with both Governments to ensure alignment with policy. 131/221 Since last reported several Policies and Guidelines have been approved by Governance Boards namely, Anti-Fraud and Anti-Corruption Strategy, Anti-theft Bribery and Corruption Policy, SBCD Meeting Protocol, SBCD Webh Language Guidelines, Role of a Programme / Project SRO. Declarations of Interest (DOI) now implemented Financial statements have been subscription of the ensure DDI compliant in terms of accessability.	1	2	2	↓ (2,2)	Mar-22

1										1	-					
Op erational	Risk	SBCD022	Political Changes	Oct-20	C9		Changes in Local, Regional or National Government may impact the approach, development and delivery of the SSCD resulting in new ways of working which may impair the current portfolio	з	з	Periodic elections across both Governments and locally, SBCD stakeholders frequently engage with with and provide updates to political leaders and monitor election periods.	0804/21 On going. 01/07/21 Vaughan Gethin is now responsible for City & Growth Deals across Wales. The establishment of coponsie Joint Committees is underway for SBCR which could impact on the governance arrangements for the SBCD. Acknowledgement that there potentiatly could be a change in political stateholder across the Governance Boards. PoMO to consider putting training programmes in place for new members. Risk to be reviewed in June post elections.	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD023	Failure to achieve full funding package	Mar-18	СЗ	All	Risk of failing to achieve the full funding package resulting in project potentially unable to deliver or to deliver full scale of anticipated project outcomes	3	5	Early engagement with all funders to develop strong relationships. Robust financial planning and clear outline of Interdependencies of funding in the business case, ensuing that fundamental appets of the program are funder from your cost earlier funding sources. Timely releve and approval of five case business plan. Effective and finely procurement activity. Establishment of hobust contracts. Ongoing dialogue to resolve issues relating to revenue funding.	Awaiting approval of sign off of final Business Cases. Once achieved risk to be revisited and potentially closed	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD024	Failure to identify / secure revenue funding	Mar-18	C3 C6 C11 C14	Account able Body	Risk of failing to identify / secure revenue funding resulting in four projects, including one regional project, unable to proceed.	5	5	Ongoing dialogue with governments to identify potential solutions including discussions on Capitalisation Direction. Projects with revenue element encouraged to explore alternative funding streams to support revenue elements.	07/04/2021 Revenue funding requirements has been identified and will be actively managed going forward. Local Authonities will use the capital receipts directive where applicable to apply to City Deal and Public Sector investment components as agreed by the regional Section 151 Officers. Revenue investment from private sector will be managed and programme / project level as per their requirements. 04/07/21 Revenue funding requirements has been identified and will be actively managed going forward/Where constraints are identified, SEC 151 Officers will work closely to identifymitigating actions where appropriate. 13/12/21 Orgoing. Section 151 Officers are due to have discussions with Government.		4	12	¢	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD025	Failure to agree NNDR (rates retention) flexibility	Mar-18	СЗ	able	Risk of failing to agree NNDR (Rates Retention) feeblidyresulting in Local authorities unable to borrow required amount for projects	4	5	Ongoing dialogue with government to explore opportunities for rate retention	0704/0221 hs-principle agreement with WG but further discussions delayed due to Covid-19. Report on approtonment methodology being submitted to May/June governance boards. 0407/21 Report drafted. Intention to submit to Programme Board July / August. 13/12/21 NNDR Report drafted. Due to be submitted to Programme Board in January 2022.	3	3	9	¢	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD026	Private sector funding contribution/s not realised in line with business case projections	Mar-18	СЗ	Lood	Risk that private sector funding is not realised as per portfolio business case projections that will impact on the deliverability of outputs and outcomes of the SBCD	5	5	Projects required to complete full five case business model including robust financial detail and commercial case identifying and confirming sources of income.	OPMAD21 Business Debanks: engagement framework is being developed to support and angage private sector. A present limited private sector funding has been committed, however as the portfolio develops further investment will be stimulated as programmes / projects and supply chains mature. This will be monitored quarterly 2//021 Af present limited private sector funding has been committed, however as the portfolio develops further investment will be stimulated as programmes / projects and supply chains mature. This will be monitored quarterly. 2//021	2	2	4	Ţ	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD028	Timeframe for end of current EU funding programmes EU funding is still committed in line with BC profiles	Mar-18	СЗ	All	Spend profile and delivery of programmes / projects needs to ensure it meets requirements for final data for EU hunding, resulting in funding lost if it doesn't meet requirements	3	3	Early dalogue with all funders including Governments and WEFO. Project lead to accelerate business case development	Provantable Programma providents will manage european funding inline with busienss case approved budgets. Two projects are in recipt of EU funding, POM has been approved and SELCG has been incurring spend at risk. 9010721 As per financial monitoring ISm of European funding has currently been committed. Programmes/projects will manage european funding inline with busienss case approved budgets. Two projects are in recipt of EU funding. POM has been approved and SELCB has been incurring append at risk. 131221 Deadine set for end of December, Funding agreements for POM signed and Programme Boaard now in place. Programme now in development and expected to spend European funding by the imposed deadline. Discussions being held with WEPO on the fieldshifty of the funding profiles in 2023.	3	3	9	↓ (4,4)	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD029	Project authority lead unable to borrow amount required to frontload all programmes / projects	Mar-18	C3 C6	LA's	Risk that Project authority lead unable to borrow amount required to frontioad all programmes / projects unable to go ahead	3	5 1	Project lead authority's to factor anticipated CD borrowing and repayment costs into financial profiling. Regular dialogue between delivery lead and project lead authority to develop expediture forecast as accurately as possible. Delivery lead to inform project lead authority of any charges to financial profile. Section 151 foldes group to both a schedule of lepayment of Chy Deal funding for consideration and agreement by Joint Committee.	07/04/0201 Regional S151 offices have agreed borrowing principles, UK government has indicated a reduction in the term of the grant award and as such onging work to review the impact of this is being undertaken. 01/07/21 00/07/21	2	3	6	÷	Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD031	Increase in cost of construction	01/07/21	C3 C6		Risk that cost of construction may increase impacting on budgets set out in Programme / Project Businesses resulting in an overspend	5	3	The PoMO will work closely with programme / project leads to identify potential impact and determine whether it is managed locally or whether intervention at Portfolio level is required.	13/12/21 Construction Impact Assessment currently with Programme / Project Leads and SRO's for completion in readiness for the January Governance Boards.	5	3	15	↔	Mar-22
Financial	Opportunit	SBCD032	Advcancement of SBCD grant from UK Government	01/07/21	C3	All	Advancement of SBCD grant from UK Government from 15-10 years. This will reduce the borrowing requirement for the Portfolio.			Awaiting SBCD grant profile to be formalised.	13/12/21 Discussions to be held with WG. Awaiting formal decision on their approach for advancement of grant.					Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD033	Weich Gouerment 2021 update of Technical Advice Note (TAN) 15 development risk of floading and coastal erosion and associated flood planning maps.	11/10/21	C2 C6	able Body	Proposel updates to the TAN and maps have recategorised the vulnerability of centrain developments, increased the extert of the flood maps and the ability of land womers and local authorities to potentially achieve planning permission and ultimately develop land affected by the 2021 updates.	4	4	Weble Government are monitoring effectiveness of Planning Policy Wabes (PPW) and Technical Advo. Note (TAN) 15 Hourga n andification direction and sustainable development indicators. Coordinated challenge (Land by WLGA) to Weble Novernment to ensure the updating of TAN 15 does not have a negative impact on regeneration activity. Carry out additional Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) Consultation with Laad Local Flood Authority and planning authorities Piolod May for planning embedded development planns. Flood do consequences Assessment (FCA) to be curried out to assess the risk of development planns. Flood consequences Assessment (FCA) to be carried out to assess the risk of development planns. Programes as planned across the portfallin, being cardioox that have elanning applications are programes and Projects to rever the operativity floor and provide a statement indicating whether or not they believe their schemes could be affected and any other measure they are tailing on top of these its chemes could be affected and any other measure they are tailing on top of the solute ATM and provide	2561/22 PRW have issued a letter to local authorities stating their flood maps are still to be considered when contemplating planning applications between now and June 2023.	4	4	16		Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD034	GVA Calculation / Measurement	08/12/21	C3 C6		There is a risk that the expectations of what GVA would be measured, monitored and reported on might not be achievable as originally anticipated.	3	3	GVA has been isted in the Heads of Terms and as a Portfolio investment Objective as a measure which will provide a positive impact across Steps (whilst the will be true, the SEO PAMD have been unable to achieve a conclusive answer from numerous sources as to how this measurement can be achieved at a Portfolio level. Advice and guidance of what is expected in relation to the measurement of GVA is currently being sought from Colleagues in Weish and UK government.						Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD035	Ineffective engagement with and involvement of important stakeholders	08/12/21	C6 C13	PoMO / Leads	Pallure to effectively engage important stakeholders including industry, hind and private sector across the portfolio resulting industry. Hind and private sector across the portfolio resulting to being hilly achieved internatio dougles and outcomes and Programmes and Projects (P&Ps) will have their individual approach to stakeholder engagement throughout the lifetime of the projects. In some cases opportunities may exist for collaborative working across the portfolio to generate maximum regional benefit from stakeholder involvement. PAPs should work a stakeholder is deemad to be important if a take of effective engagement at any stage of planning or delivery could achieving their collectives or could lead to that stakeholder being reputationally disruptive.	3	3	P&Ps will report on engagement progress via the Portfolio governance and reporting system. The Economic Strategy Board is keen to assist P&Ps with engagement at all levels. Portfolio Business Engagement Manager will support P&Ps in this activity including being a point of ordera for SGCD enquisits, supporting meet the buyer events during construction period, amanging and attending events and meetings etc. A Portfolio Engement and Investment Framework has been drafted to aid the process (currently deferred until SQW Regional Plan is adopted by LAs)		3	3	9		Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD036	Turn over of Staff	08/12/21	C7		Risk of losing key strategic business partners due to high turn over of staff in the business sector resulting in possible change in priorities, having to rebuild working relationships and knowledge sharing.	3	3	PoMO to work with stakeholder to build relationships and support with delivery of projects		3	3	9		Mar-22
Oper ational	y Risk	SBCD037	Assurance Framework	08/12/21	C6	JC	Risk of non acceptance and approval of the Assurance Framework causing the PoMO additional by having to carry out an unmanageable number of reviews per annum.	3	4	PoMO working with Welsh Government to draft the Framework along with gaining input / comments from key stakeholders.		3	4	12		Mar-22
Financial	Opportunit)	SBCD038	Unallocated £5.3m underspend	08/12/21	C3 C6	Body	Confirm £5.3m allocation of remaining £5.3m funding to be allocated. There is a risk that due to outside factors further delays in the	3	3	Review on completion of quarter 3 financial monitoring.		3	3	9		Mar-22
Operational	Risk	SBCD039	Ability to update the JCA	08/12/21	C6 C12	Legal	updating of the JCA will prevent the audit recommendations being completely closed and may cause some functional restrictions in the requirements of the various boards and committees and the Portfolio Management Office in discharging their governance requirements for the City Deal	3	4	The JCA requires updating following audit recommendations, in order to ensure the 12 agreement is fit for purpose following the bedding in period of the Portfolio Management team, coupled with the progress made across the Portfolio with Programmes and Projects moving past approval and into delivery.		3	4	12		Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD040	Operations of the SBCD PoMO	08/12/21	C3 C6	able	Risk of having no funding available for the continuation of the SBCD PoMO post 7 years, resulting in no PoMO in place to continue with Governance arrangements and monitoring / reporting of the Portfolio	3	4 1	2 Options and requirement to be discussed at next \$151 working group. Review of investment components and timelines has been completed in conjunction with		3	4	12		Mar-22
Financial	Risk	SBCD041	In Year Underspend	08/12/21	C3 C6	able	Having undertaken a reprofiling and baselining exercise across the Portolio, there is a risk of an in year underspend of circa 10% of the portolio value, however this has no effect on the overall investment of the Portfolio or the wider benefits and deliverables.	4	3 1	the Programme (Project Lash: These noises have resulted in a more validitic investment forecast which will allow for Quarterly and Annual review and acciding to 12 ensure continued progress towards this profile. This is the first reprofiling exercise that's been undertaken following the approximal of all project/programme business cases and will be actively managed going forward to business case updates and the change management strategy.		4	3	12		Mar-22

Swansea Bay City Deal Programme Risk Register - Categories

The Swansea Bay City Deal programme risk register captures and monitors key programme level risks to the delivery of the City Deal and achievement of its aims and objectives. It will be monitored by Joint Committee and Programme Board via circulation prior to each meeting and issues tabled for discussion as necessary.

Category	Ref. No	Description
Contractual	C1	Ineffective use or management of contacts leads to increased costs
Environmental	C2	Environmental incidents
Financial	C3	Financial risks facing the Councils
Health & Safety	C4	Harm to employees / public
IT	C5	Failure of systems / cyber attack
Objectives	C6	Threat to achieveing programme objectives
People / Social	C7	Threat to / from society / groups / public
Physical / Assets	C8	Damage to organisational property
Political	C9	Adverse actions caused by changes in local, regional or national governments
Professional	C10	Lack or loss of qualified employees
Projects	C11	Threat to / from individual projects
Regulatory / Legal	C12	Changes to regulations / law
Reputation	C13	Negative publicity
Schedule / Timescales	C14	Threats to timelines / critical path(s)